BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. AC 2007-50

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

	•				
EVA YI-FANG TSAI 17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208 City of Industry, CA 91748					
Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 81126,					
and					
E-FANG ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION, EVA YI-FANG TSAI, Sole Shareholder 17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208 City of Industry, CA 91748					
Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055					
Respondents.					
DECISION AN	D ORDER				
The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by					
the California Board of Accountancy, Department	of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this				
matter.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
This Decision shall become effective	e on <u>December 26, 2008</u>				
It is so ORDERED November 26, 2008					

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SCOTT J. HARRIS, State Bar No. 238437		
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2554		
.6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC 2007-50	
11		Case 110. AC 2007-30	
12	EVA YI-FANG TSAI 17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208	,	
13	City of Industry, CA 91748	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 81126,		
15	and		
16	E-FANG ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION,		
17	EVA YI-FANG TSAI, Sole Shareholder 17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208		
18	City of Industry, CA 91748		
19	Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055		
20	Respondents.		
21			
22	In the interest of a prompt and speedy	settlement of this matter, consistent with the	
23	public interest and the responsibility of the California Board of Accountancy of the Department		
24	of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and		
25	Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final		
26	disposition of Accusation No. AC 2007-50.		
27			
28	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

PARTIES

- 1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) was the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy (Board), and brought this action solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board. Patti Bowers is now the Acting Executive officer of the Board, and, for purposes of resolving this matter, succeeds Carol Sigmann as the Complainant. The Complainant is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Scott J. Harris, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Eva Yi-Fang Tsai (Respondent Tsai), individually, and on behalf of E-Fang Accountancy Corporation, with Respondent Tsai as Sole Shareholder (Respondent E-Fang), (collectively, "the Respondents") is representing herself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about April 27, 2001, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant License Certificate No. 81126 to Respondent Tsai. The Certified Public Accountant License Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. AC 2007-50, and will expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about January 4, 2002, the Board issued Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055 to Respondent E-Fang. The Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate was in full force and effect at all time relevant to the changes brought in Accusation No. AC 2007-50, and will expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION.

5. Accusation No. AC 2007-50 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on July 14, 2008. Respondent Tsai timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC 2007-50 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

27 || \\\

28 \\\\

- 1 2

- 1.7

6. Respondent Tsai has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC 2007-50. Respondent Tsai has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent Tsai is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent Tsai voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent Tsai, individually, and on behalf of Respondent E-Fang, admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC 2007-50 except for the charges and allegations contained in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (e)(ii) and (e)(iii), and admits that the remainder of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC 2007-50 are cause for discipline. Further, Respondent Tsai agrees, that if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations in contained in paragraph 11, subparagraph (e)(ii) and (e)(iii), would also be cause for discipline.
- 10. Respondent Tsai, individually, and on behalf of Respondent E-Fang, agrees that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 81126 and Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055 are subject to discipline, and agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent Tsai, understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the

staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Tsai understands and agrees that she may not withdraw from the agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, and, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant License Certificate No. 81126, issued to Respondent Eva Yi-Fang Tsai, and Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055, issued to Respondent E-Fang Accountancy Corporation, with Eva Yi-Fang Tsai as sole shareholder, are revoked. However, the revocations of both certificates are stayed, and Respondent Tsai and Respondent E-Fang are placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **Actual Suspension.** Certified Public Accountant License Certificate

No. 81126, issued to Eva Yi-Fang Tsai, and Certified Public Accountancy Corporation

Certificate No. 5055, issued to Respondent E-Fang Accountancy Corporation, with Eva Yi-Fang

Tsai as sole shareholder, are suspended for thirty (30) days. During the period of suspension the

Respondents shall engage in no activities for which certification as a Certified Public Accountant

or Public Accountant, and Certified Public Accountancy Corporation, is required as described in

Business and Professions Code, Division 3, Chapter 1, Section 5051.

- 2. **Obey All Laws.** Respondents shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- 3. Submit Written Reports. On behalf of Respondents, Respondent Tsai shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board. Respondent Tsai shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondents' compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. On behalf of Respondents, Respondent Tsai shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the Board or its representatives.
- 4. **Personal Appearances.** On behalf of Respondents, Respondent Tsai shall, during the period of probation, appear in person at interviews/meetings as directed by the Board or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 5. **Comply With Probation.** Respondents shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the Board and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the Board of Accountancy in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondent's compliance with probation terms and conditions.
- 6. **Practice Investigation.** Respondents shall be subject to, and shall permit, practice investigation of Respondent Tsai's and Respondent E-Fang's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the Board, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 7. Review of Work Papers and Financial Statements. During the period of probation, all work papers and draft reports for audit engagements undertaken by Respondent Tsai and Respondent E-Fang shall be subject to review by a qualified outside CPA. Upon completion of the review of the work papers and draft reports for audit engagements, on behalf of Respondents, Respondent Tsai shall submit a copy of the report with the reviewer's conclusions and findings to the Board.

- 8. **Peer Review.** During the period of probation, all of Respondent Tsai's and Respondent E-Fang's audit, review, and compilation reports and work papers, shall be subject to peer review by a certified peer reviewer approved as such by the California Society of CPA's, at Respondents' expense. The review shall be conducted in accordance with the standards established by the Peer Review Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and shall evaluate Respondent Tsai's and Respondent E-Fang's system of quality control, including its organizational structure, the policies and procedures established by the firm, and the firm's compliance with its quality control system as determined on the basis of a review of selected engagements. The specific engagements to be reviewed shall be at the discretion of the peer reviewer. Upon completion of the peer review, Respondent Tsai shall submit a copy of the report with the reviewer's conclusions and findings to the California Society of CPA's with a copy to the Board.
- 9. **Continuing Education Courses.** Respondent Tsai shall complete 40 hours of professional education courses in accounting and auditing as specified by the Board or its designee. This requirement shall be in addition to the continuing education requirements for licensing and license renewal.
- 10. **Cost Reimbursement.** Respondents shall reimburse the Board \$9,948.21 for its investigation and prosecution costs. The payment shall be made within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Board's final decision and order.
- 11. **Comply With Citations.** Respondents shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board of Accountancy.
- Respondent Tsai should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, Respondent Tsai must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period, or of any suspension. No obligation imposed herein, including requirements to file written reports, reimburse the Board costs, or make restitution to consumers, shall be suspended or otherwise affected by such periods of out-of-state residency or practice except at the written direction of the

Board. Respondent Tsai shall notify the Board within 15 days of her participation in nay accountancy corporation or partnership in the United States.

- Active License Status. Respondent Tsai shall at all times maintain an active license status with the Board, including during any period of suspension. If the license is expired at the time the Board's decision becomes effective, the license must be renewed within 30 days of the effective date of the decision.
- 14. Violation of Probation. If Respondents violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondents notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondents during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 15. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand that I may also seek the advice of legal counsel to advise me regarding the effects of entering into this stipulation. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant License Certificate, and on my Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.

DATED: 10/07/08

24

25

26

27

28 111

and on behalf of E-FANG ACCOUTANCY CORP., as sole shareholder. Respondents

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 10/8/0~

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California

GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General

SCOTT J. HARRIS Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Matter ID: LA2007601572 60342940.wpd

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	SCOTT J. HARRIS, State Bar No. 238437		
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2554	•	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		•
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
	BEFORE T		
8	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	SUMER AFFAIRS	
	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	<i>(</i>
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-50	
12	EVA YI-FANG TSAI 17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208	ACCUSATION	•
13	City of Industry, CA 91748		
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 81126		
15	and		
16	E-FANG ACCOUNTANCY CORP. EVA YI-FANG TSAI, Sole Shareholder		
17	17800 Castleton Street, Suite 208 City of Industry, CA 91748		
18	Certified Public Accountancy Corporation		
19	Certificate No. 5055		
20			
21	Respondents.		
22			
23	Complainant alleges:		
24	PARTIE	S	
25	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant)	brings this Accusation solel	y in her official
26	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California B		
27	of Consumer Affairs.		, , L
28	\\\		

7[°]

.

2. On or about April 27, 2001, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number 81126 to Eva Yi-Fang Tsai (Respondent Tsai). The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2008, unless renewed.

3. On or about January 4, 2002, the Board issued Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate No. 5055 to E-Fang Accountancy Corporation (Respondent E-Fang), with Respondent Tsai as Sole Shareholder. The Certified Public Accountancy Corporation Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 5100 of the Code states:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

"(c) Dishonesty, fraud, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts committed in the same or different engagements, for the same or different clients, or any combination of engagements or clients, each resulting in a violation of applicable professional standards that indicate a lack of competency in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052.

"(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter."

6. Section 5156 of the Code states:

"An accountancy corporation shall not do or fail to do any act the doing of which or the failure to do which would constitute unprofessional conduct under any statute, rule or regulation now or hereafter in effect. In the conduct of its practice, it shall observe and be bound by such statutes, rules and regulations to the same extent as a person holding a permit under Section 5070 of this code. The board shall have the same powers of suspension, revocation and discipline against an accountancy corporation as are now or hereafter authorized by Section 5100 of this code, or by any other similar statute against individual licensees, provided, however, that proceedings against an accountancy corporation shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein."

- 7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 58, provides that licensees engaged in the practice of public accountancy shall comply with all applicable professional standards, including but not limited to generally accepted accounting principles and generally accepted auditing standards.
- 8. Section 5062 of the Code provides that a licensee shall issue a report which conforms to professional standards upon completion of a compilation, review or audit of financial statements.
 - 9. Section 5107, subdivision (a), of the Code states:

"The executive officer of the board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing."

25 \\\\

26 | \\\

27 | \\\

28 \\\\

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

- 10. The standards of practice pertinent to this accusation and the engagements at issue include, without limitation:
 - a. "Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" (GAAP) are derived from various authoritative sources including, without limitations, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), which codifies principles by FASB number, and the Accounting Principles Board (APB), which issues numbered Opinions.
 - b. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards ("GAAS") are issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The ten general, field work, and reporting standards outlined by GAAS (AU §150), which are interrelated, are discussed in the Statements on Auditing Standards ("SAS"). The SAS are codified, by "AU" number, in the AICPA's *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*. Among the SAS relevant herein, in addition to AU §150 which sets forth the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards are: AU §230 (Due Professional Care in Performance of Work), §334 (Related Parties), §508 (Auditor's Standard Report), and §558 (Required Supplementary Information).
 - c. AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Brokers and Dealers in Securities represented by AAG-BRD section numbers (hereinafter, the "AICPA Interpretive Publication"), is an interpretive publication pursuant to SAS No. 95, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards. Interpretive publications are recommendation on the application of SASs in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. Interpretive publications are issued under the authority of the Auditing Standards Board.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts)

11. Respondents are subject to discipline pursuant to Code sections 5100, subdivision (c), and 5156, in that Respondents committed gross negligence, and/or, repeated acts of negligence, in the performance an audit of "B LLC" (also referred to as the "auditee") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, which contained extreme departures from generally accepted auditing standards and regulatory requirements. The specific acts and standards of

]

.8

practice that were violated, that collectively constitute gross negligence, and/or, repeated negligent acts, are as follows:

- a. Respondents were engaged by "B LLC" to audit the balance sheet and related statements of operations, member's equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows. The required statements were presented in the audited financial statements; however, the auditor's report only offered an opinion on the statement of financial condition and failed to offer an opinion on the other financial statements (AICPA Interpretive Publication, AU Section 508.08, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17, Section 240.17(g)(3)).
- b. The auditor's report varied significantly from the AICPA's professional reporting standards and the Auditor's Standard Report as documented in the AICPA Interpretive Publication as follows:

Paragraph 1 of the Auditor's Report

- i. The report included a heading "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm," when, in fact, the required title is "Independent Auditor's Report."
- ii. The report stated that statement of financial condition was audited, but the report failed to reference an audit of the related statements of income, changes in stockholder's equity, changes in liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors, and cash flows, as required in broker dealer audits.
- iii. The report failed to make reference to "filing pursuant to rule 17a-5 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934" as shown in the AICPA Interpretive Publication.
- iv The report stated that the auditor's "responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement of condition," whereas, according to the professional standards, the auditor's "responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements."

Paragraph 2 of the Auditor's Report

v. The report referred to the auditor's responsibilities with respect to the statement of financial condition rather than the financial statements, as required by professional standards.

vi. The report included a sentence "We were note[sic] engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting." This statement is not supported by the AICPA Interpretive Publication or other AICPA audit reporting standards.

Paragraph 3 of the Auditor's Report

- vii. Respondent Tsai stated an opinion on the statement of financial condition, but failed to provide an opinion on the financial statements, results of operations, and cash flows, that accompanied the auditor's report, as required by AICPA reporting standards, including industry-specific interpretation.
- c. Respondents failed to address the required supplementary schedules in the auditor's opinion or in the annual audit report, in violation of AU Sections 558.06, 558.08, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17, Section 240.27(d)(3), and the AICPA Interpretive Publication. The following supplementary schedules are required by SEC Rule 17d3, but were omitted by the Respondents: Computation of net capital and required net capital under SEC Rule 15c3-1; Computation for determination of reserve requirements under Exhibit A of SEC Rule 15c3-3; Information relating to the possession or control requirements under SEC Rule 15c3-3.
- d. Respondents failed to include the required supplemental report on internal control with the annual audited report (AAG-BRD Sections 3.125 and 3.127).
- e. The financial statements and notes to the financial statements contained significant inaccuracies which resulted from Respondents' failure to apply adequate care in correlating evidence obtained during the audit with required professional standards (including, AU Sections 230.01, 230.03, 230.05, 230.06) as follows:
- i. The current asset "Securities Owned at Fair Value" reported in the amount of \$1,514,363 on the Statement of Financial Position and in Note 7 was overstated by \$540,000, as a result of Respondents' failure to compute and adjust the book value of three (3) million shares of stock held by the auditee on December 31, 2005 to the stock's fair value. If the Respondents had properly computed and reported the fair value of the stock, a corresponding unrealized loss of \$540,000 would have been reported on the Statement of Income (AAG-BRD Sections 7.07 and 7.09).

1	ii The "current asset account held temporarily for customer" and the
2	corresponding "current liability account held temporarily for customer" on the Statement of
3	Financial Position at December 31, 2005 were materially understated as a result of an improper
4	audit adjustment processed by Respondents. The Respondents' work papers support a finding
5	that on December 30, 2005, \$582,761 was transferred from A B LLC's customer's Business
6	Services Account (an account maintained by the auditee on behalf of the customer) to the
7	auditee's checking account, for distribution to the customer. However, the funds were not
8	distributed until January 3, 2006. As a result, the auditee was still holding the customer's funds
9.	as of December 31, 2005; and, these funds were not accounted for in the 2005 annual report.
10	According to Note 2 to the annual audit report under Securities Transactions, "Clients' security
11	transactions are recorded on a settlement-date basis" In this instance, the adjustment made by

Respondents is inconsistent with the disclosure in Note 2 (Glossary to AICPA Interpretive

- iii. Respondents materially overstated "Commission Income" and "Operating Expenses" on the Statement of Income for two transactions recorded on or about May 2, 2005 and May 3, 2005 that were external to "B LLC," and should not have been recorded in "B LLC's" financial statements. Specifically, the two transactions are as follows: (1) \$250,000 "Commission Income" for services provided by a related entity that was inaccurately booked to "B LLC"; and, (2) an "Operating Expense" transaction in the same amount (AU Section 334.09).
- f. The annual audit report prepared by Respondents failed to contain a level of quality as required in a professional report, in that the report contained numerous spelling and grammatical errors in violation of professional standards (including, AU Sections 230.01 and 230.03), as follows:
- i. The address line of the letterhead for the independent auditor's report included a misspelling, in that the word "SUITE" was incorrectly spelled as "SUTIE."

27 | \\\

2.1

Publication).

28 | \\\

l	I
2	
.3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
. 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	11

28

- 3. Ordering E-Fang Accountancy Corporation and Eva Yi-Fang Tsai to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: June 26, 2008

CAROL SIGMANN

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2008600056

60303128.wpd